"... when Yahweh God made the earth and the heavens,"—a proposal for the right translation of בְּיִּב [bºyôm] in Genesis 2:4

David G. Graves

One tactic used to undermine the length of the days in Genesis 1:1–2:3 is the use of the phrase "in the day the Lord God made the earth and the heavens" in most English translations of the Bible. However, "in the day ..." is based on a faulty translation of the Hebrew phrase boyôm 'ăśôt ("when ... made"). This is shown by the usage of the prepositional phrase boyôm in its seven other occurrences in Genesis as well as the syntax of the infinitive construct. This demonstrates that the proper translation of Genesis 2:4 should be "when the Lord God made the earth and the heavens." This obviates this argument of the skeptics concerning the semantics of the word yôm as anything other than a 24 hour period unless otherwise modified.

enesis 2:4 contains a prepositional phrase that some Critics seek to use to undermine the historic position of a literal six day creation. Genesis 2:4 reads "These are the generations of the heavens and the earth when they were created, when Yahweh God made them."1 The KJV and most English translations contribute to the misinterpretation of Genesis 2:4 by translating the phrase hyper-literally as "in the day". Even the entry in the Koehler–Baumgartner lexicon is misleading. It subsumes the translation of Genesis 2:4 under the category for ביוֹם $(b^{2}v\hat{o}m)$ + finite verb. It then gives an example of ביום $(b^{3}y\hat{o}m)$ + infinitive construct used in a ritual context and renders it as "when". This hyper-literal translation is then used to assert that the word "day" in Genesis 1 cannot possibly mean an actual solar day. A good example is the old-earth creationist Hugh Ross:

"Here the word *day* refers to all six creation days (and the creation of the universe that took place prior to the first creative day). Obviously, then, this is a period longer than 24 hours."

The prepositional phrase בּיוֹם $(b^3y \hat{o}m)$ occurs 198 times in the Masoretic Text with a schwa under the beth preposition as in Genesis 2:4, as opposed the 295 times when בּיוֹם $(bayy \hat{o}m)$ with a patach occurs. For the purposes of this article we will limit our analysis to the uses of these prepositional phrases in the Book of Genesis: since the numbers are reduced eight and thirteen for בּיוֹם $(b^3y \hat{o}m)$ and בּיוֹם $(bayy \hat{o}m)$ respectively.

The uses of בַּיּים (bayyôm) in Genesis

The three Hebrew consonantal prepositions are always affixed to the noun or nominal verb. As one examines the thirteen uses of \Box (bayyôm), one discovers that it most naturally is rendered "in the day". The patach (\Box a) under the preposition \Box (b) indicates that the definite article has been "syncopated following the preposition," i.e. \Box (ha the) has been removed from middle of the new phrase.

When one looks at the 13 uses of this prepositional phrase, one is not surprised that in all but Genesis 1:18 it is modified by either an ordinal number, (e.g. "on the seventh day") or a demonstrative pronoun (e.g. "on that day") indicating that the text refers to a particular day. Genesis 1:18 evinces a different usage. God speaks of the day in contradistinction from the night. Thus in this generic use one finds "the day" in opposition to "the night."

The other uses of בַּיוֹם (b°yôm) in Genesis

Prior to examining the use of בְּיוֹם $(b^{\circ}y\hat{o}m)$ in Genesis 2:4, it will be useful to look at the other seven uses of this prepositional phrase in the book of Genesis.

Genesis 2:17 and 3:5

הַדַּעַת' שָוֹב וָרֶּע לְא תאכֵל מִמֶּנוּ בִּי בְּיָוֹם אֲכְלְּדְּ מִמֵנוּ מִוֹת חָמִוּת: וּמֵעֵץ

ûmē^cēṣ haddá^cat tôb wārā^c lō² tō²kal mimménnû kî bəyôm ²ăkolkā mimménnû môt tāmûţ

But from the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, you shall not eat from it. For *when* you eat from it you shall surely die.

יֹרֵע אֱלֹהִים כִּי בְּיוֹם אֲכָלְכֵם מִכֶּנוּ וְנִפְּקְחָוּ עֵינֵיכֵם וְהִיִּחֶם בַּאלֹהִים יִּרְעֵי טִוֹב וְרֵע: כִּי

kî yōdē^{ac} 'ĕlōhîm kî bəyôm 'ăkolkem mimménnû wənīpqəḥû ^cệnêkem wihyîtem kē'lōhîm yōd^cê tôb wārā^c

For God knows that *when* you eat from it, then your eyes will be opened, and you shall be like God knowing good and evil.

Genesis 2:17 and 3:5 both refer to the probationary curse of death should Adam and Eve violate God's command not to eat from the Tree of the Knowledge of Good and Evil. God demanded "perfect and in every respect complete obedience" from Adam and Eve. 10 He also threatened terrible punishment should they disobey. Herman Bavinck observed that if one takes the prepositional phrase בָּיֶב (bayôm) as "in the day" as opposed to idiomatically then God's wrath miscarried and the curse proved to be a falsehood for Adam and Eve did not physically die that day.



"The heavens declare the glory of God; the skies proclaim the work of his hands" (Psalm 19:1).

"Had the full punishment of sin been immediately carried out, in that first human couple the whole human race would have been annihilated, the earth laid waste, and the cosmos would have returned to chaos or to nothingness. In that case, however, Satan would have been victorious and God defeated."

While many interpreters have sought to view the spiritual death meted out that day as the fulfillment of the promised מות חמות (môt tāmût, "vou shall surely die"). the curse surely includes physical death. Adam and Eve experienced the most profound death on that day, as Paul said when commenting on the Fall in Romans 5, "Therefore just as through one man, sin entered into the world and death through sin, and thus death unto all men, because all sinned." Yet the curse was not meted out *in toto* on that day. So while God did indeed pronounce the doom of death on the day of the Fall, God mercifully delayed their physical death so that they could bear children, especially the one from whom the Seed would spring to crush the Serpent's head. So while in these two instances one is not only allowed by grammatical construction to render ביום (bəyôm) as "when", the theological implications demand it.

Genesis 5:1-2

זֶה סֵפֶּר תּוֹלְדֹת אָדָם בְּיוֹם בְּרֹא אֱלֹהִים אָדָם בִּדְמוּת אתוֹ יַּזֶכָר וּנְקַבָּה בְּרָאָם וַיְבָרֶךְ אֹתִם וַיִּקְרָא אֶת־שְׁמָם אָדָם בִּיוֹם הַבַּרָאָם ס אֵלֹהִים עַשֹּׁה

zeh séper töldöt 'adam bəyöm bərö' 'elöhîm 'adam bidmût 'elöhîm 'aśah 'ötô' zakar ûnəqēbah bəra'am wayəbarek 'ötam wayyiqra' 'et-səmam 'adam bəyöm hibbar'am

This is the book of the Genealogy of Adam *when* God created him. In the likeness of God he made him. Male and female he created them and blessed them, and he called their name Adam, *when* he created them.

These two verses have particular bearing on the proper interpretation of Genesis 2:4. Both times that בְּיוֹם ($b^{\circ}y\hat{o}m$) occurs in Genesis 5:1–2, it has the same grammatical structure as in Genesis 2:4. בְּיוֹם precedes an infinitive construct without an intervening preposition. In 5:1, the infinitive construct is the verb ברא (br° , "to create") in the qal. ברא only occurs in the infinitive construct six times in the Old Testament: four times in the niphal, once in the hiphil, and here in the qal. Four times (Gen. 5:1, 5:2; Ezek. 28:13, 15) the infinitive construct of ברא is preceded by a separate prepositional phrase that acts like the preposition

attached to the infinitive construct. Three times it is the prepositional phrase בְּיוֹם (bəyôm) while Ezekiel 28:15 uses מַיִּים ((miyyôm) "when"). In each of these instances, the grammatical collocation functions to denote "when" not "in/from the day."

Genesis 21:8

וַיּנְבֶּל הַיֶּלֶד וַיִּנְמָל וַיַּעַשׁ אַבְרָהָם מִשְׁתֶּה נָדְּוֹל בְּיִוֹם הָנָמֵל אַת־יִצְחַק:

wayyigdal hayyéled wayyiggāmal wayyá^caś ²abrāhām mište^h gādôl bəyôm higgāmēl ²et-yishāq

And the child grew and he was weaned. And Abraham prepared a great feast *when* Isaac was weaned.

This verse actually serves as the greatest weakness to the thesis advanced in this article. However there is nothing in this verse nor in the 67 other times when prefixes an infinitive construct that necessitates a translation of "in the day". Even here the normal pairing that signals the very day, for example $\frac{1}{2}$ (bayyôm hazeh), is absent. Thus even in this verse nothing demands that the feast occurred on the last day that Isaac nursed from Sarah. Rather all that this verse requires is that Abraham held a great feast after Isaac was weaned.

Genesis 30:33

וְעֵנֶתְה־בִּי צִדְקָתִי בְּיָוֹם מָחָּר בִּי־תְבִוֹא עַל־שְׁכָרֶי לְפָּגֵיךְּ ושָׁלוּא בֵעִזִּים וְחוּם בַּבְּשָׁבִּים נָנִוּב הוּא אִתִּי: כָּל אֲשֶׁר־אֵינָנוּ נָלָר

wə^cāntā^h-bî ṣidqātî bəyôm māḥār kî-tābô^c cal-śəkārî ləpāne^ýkā kōl ašer-enennû nāqōd wəṭālû^c bā^cizzîm wəḥûm bakkəśābîm gānûb hû^c ittî

"And my righteousness will answer for me whenever (lit. "at the time of tomorrow"), when you come to check concerning my wages. Every one that is not speckled and spotted among the goats or black among the lambs, will be as if stolen by me."

This verse contains בְּיִב (bəyôm) in a construction with the adverb "tomorrow". So while it does not have the same



"These are the generations of the heavens and the earth when they were created, when Yahweh God made them" (Genesis 2:4).

construction of ביום followed by an infinitive construct, again it demonstrates that the prepositional phrase ביום does not necessitate the translation of "in the day" as most modern versions translate it. Jacob was not scheduling an inspection to occur the following day. He was rather giving Laban the right to come and inspect Jacob's herds at whatever time Laban pleased.

Genesis 35:3

וְנַעֲלֶה בִּית־אֵל וְאֶעֲשֶׂה־שֵּׁם מִזְבַּׁח לְאֵׁל הָעֹנֶה אֹתִי בִּיוֹם צַרָתִי וַיִּהִי עַמָּרִי בַּדֵּרֶךְ אֲשֵׁר הָלֵכְתִּי: וְנָקִּוּמָה

wənāqûmā^h wəna^căle^h bệt-²ēl wə²e^cĕśe^h-ššām mizbē^aḥ lā²ēl hā^cōne^h ²ōtî bəyôm ṣārātî wayəhî ^cimmādî baddérek ²ăšer hālāktî

"Let us arise and let us go to Bethel. And there I will build an altar to the God who answered me when I was in distress and he has been with me in the way that I went."

Jacob directs his clan to leave Shechem and go to the area of Bethel. He reminisces over God's faithfulness to his promises and his answer to Jacob's pleas for help. Jacob's trouble cannot be restricted to one day. Rather Jacob used a substantive participle to refer to God's action. Such attributive participles do not mark time or aspect. So while Jacob plans to build the altar in response to the vow he had made years earlier in Genesis 28:16–22, the time of Jacob's distress mentioned here lasted the whole period of his sojourn away from the land of Canaan.

Conclusions on the use of בְּיוֹם (b°yôm) in Genesis apart from Genesis 2:4

Genesis employs the prepositional phrase בּיוֹם seven times outside of Genesis 2:4. In each of these instances, one can or must translate it as the adverb "when" as opposed to the prepositional phrase "in the day". However in twelve of the thirteen uses of the similar prepositional phrase בּיוֹם (bayyôm), it requires a translation of "on X day" (where X is either an ordinal number or demonstrative pronoun). Five of the occurrences of בְּיוֹם occur in the same collocation as Genesis 2:4, namely, בִּיוֹם followed by an infinitive construct. In these instances this construction functions in a manner analogous to the infinitive construct with a proclitic ב (b) denoting the time of action, therefore logically it should be translated as "when".

Genesis 2:4

א אֶלֶּה תוֹלְרְוֹת הַשָּׁמֵיִם וְהָאֶרָץ A

בּהָבֵּרְאֵם
B'
בּהָבֵּרְאֵם
B'
בּהֹנְ עַשְּוֹת
X
בּהֹנֶה אֵלהִים
X
בּהֹנֶה אֵלהִים
A'
A 'élleh tôldôt haššāmáyim wəhā'āreṣ
B bəhibbār'ām
B' bəyôm 'aśôt
X yhwh 'ĕlōhîm
A' 'ereṣ wəšāmáyim

When one looks at Genesis 2:4 the most striking element is that it contains the first of the ten Toledoths that structure the narrative of Genesis. The next element that one notices is that it is a simple chiasm. A chiasm is a literary device that arranges the unit in an inverse style using inclusio, in other words ABB'A' structure. In this instance A and A' contain reference to the heavens and the earth and then the earth and the heavens. For this article interest is drawn to B and B'. B is an infinitive construct with a proclitic \supset (b), best rendered "when they were created". In fact, this infinitive construct suggests the proper translation of B' since B' also contains the infinitive construct עשוֹת (čásôt) to do). The infinitive construct of עשה (sh) occurs 301 times in the Masoretic Text. 285 times it employs a proclitic preposition of some sort; six times עשות is preceded by a verb; and ten times, as in Genesis 2:4, it is preceded by a noun or prepositional phrase. Of these ten, the only other time that a participle of עשה is modified by ביום (bayôm) is in Ezekiel 43:18. There ביים precedes the niphal participle הַעשוּתוּ ($h\bar{e}^{c}\bar{a}\hat{s}\hat{o}t\hat{o}$) indicating the prescribed ritual after the altar was built.

Given the parallelism with the participial phrase בּהַבּרְאֵּח ((bəhîbbār ām) "when they were created"), the general usage of the prepositional phrase "עשה ((bəyôm) "when"), and the usage of the participle עשה (cśh) it not only legitimates but necessitates the translation of Genesis 2:4 in the following manner: "These are the generation of the heavens and the earth when they were created, when Yahweh God made the earth and the heavens."

References

- All translations are mine.
- 2 Koehler, L. and Baumgartner, W., The Hebrew Aramaic Lexicon of the Old Testament: Study Edition, Baumgartner, W., Stamm, J.J. et al. (Rev.), Brill, Boston, MA, p. 401, 2001.
- Ross, H.N., Creation and Time, p.52, Navpress, Colorado Springs, 1994.
- 4 Schneider, W., Grammatik des Biblischen Hebräisch: Ein Lehrbuch [Grammar of Biblical Hebrew: A Text Book], Claudius, Munich, § 49.3, 2001
- 5 All usage data in this article was drawn from Biblia Hebraica Stuttgartensia in Bible Works® 7.
- 6 Gen 2:4, 17; 3:5; 5:1–2; 21:8; 30:33; 35:3.
- 7 Gen. 1:18; 2:2; 7:11; 15:18; 22:4; 26:32; 30:35; 31:22; 33:16; 40:20; 42:18; 48:20.
- 8 Joüon, P. and Muraoka, T., A Grammar of Biblical Hebrew, subsidia biblica 14, Pontifical Biblical Institute, Rome, §103b, 2003.
- 9 Joüon and Muraoka, ref. 8, §35e; Schneider, ref. 3, §12.3.
- 10 Witsius, H., The Economy of the Covenants Between God and Man: Comprehending a Complete Body of Divinity Den Dulk Christian Foundation, Kingsburg, CA, vol. 1, p. 1, 1990.
- Bavinck, H., Reformed Dogmatics: Sin and Salvation in Christ, Bolt, J. (Ed.), Baker, Grand Rapids, MI, vol. 3, p. 197, 2006.
- 12 Joüon and Muraoka, ref. 8, §121i.

David G. Graves received a B.A. (1998) in Hebrew from Ohio State University. He also received both his M.Div. (2002) and PhD (2009) in Theological Studies with an emphasis on Old Testament from Trinity Evangelical Divinity School. His areas of research interest include Hermeneutics, Hebrew Syntax, the interplay of Biblical and Systematic Theology, and Leviticus. He is currently seeking ordination in the Orthodox Presbyterian Church.